
No Longer in Shadows, Pentagon's U.F.O. Unit Will Make Some Findings Public

For over a decade, the program, now tucked inside the Office of Naval Intelligence, has discussed mysterious events in classified briefings.



The U.S. Navy has officially published previously released videos showing unexplained objects. Department of Defense, via Agence France-Presse — Getty Images

By Ralph Blumenthal and Leslie Kean

Published July 23, 2020 Updated June 3, 2021

Leer en español

Despite Pentagon statements that it disbanded a once-covert program to investigate <u>unidentified flying objects</u>, the effort remains underway — renamed and tucked inside the Office of Naval Intelligence, where officials continue to study <u>mystifying encounters between military pilots</u> and unidentified aerial vehicles.

Pentagon officials will not discuss the program, which is not classified but deals with classified matters. Yet it appeared last month in a <u>Senate committee report</u> outlining spending on the nation's intelligence agencies for the coming year. The report said the program, <u>the Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon Task Force</u>, was "to standardize collection and reporting" on sightings of unexplained aerial vehicles, and was to report at least some of its findings to the public within 180 days after passage of the intelligence authorization act.

While retired officials involved with the effort — including Harry Reid, the former Senate majority leader — hope the program will seek evidence of vehicles from other worlds, its main focus is on discovering whether another nation, especially any potential adversary, is using breakout aviation technology that could threaten the United States.

Senator Marco Rubio, the Florida Republican who is the acting

chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, <u>told a CBS affiliate</u> in Miami this month that he was primarily concerned about reports of unidentified aircraft over American military bases — and that it was in the government's interest to find out who was responsible.

He expressed concerns that China or Russia or some other adversary had made "some technological leap" that "allows them to conduct this sort of activity."

Mr. Rubio said some of the unidentified aerial vehicles over U.S. bases possibly exhibited technologies not in the American arsenal. But he also noted: "Maybe there is a completely, sort of, boring explanation for it. But we need to find out."

In 2017, The New York Times disclosed the existence of a predecessor unit, called the Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program. Defense Department officials said at the time that the unit and its \$22 million in funding had lapsed after 2012.

More on U.S. Armed Forces

- A Culture of Brutality: The Navy SEALs' punishing selection course has come <u>under new scrutiny</u> after a sailor's death exposed illicit drug use and other problems.
- Sexual Abuse: Pentagon officials acknowledged that they <u>had failed to</u>
 adequately supervise the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps, after
 dozens of military veterans who taught in U.S. high schools <u>were accused</u>
 of sexually abusing their students.
- Civilian Harm: Following reports of civilian deaths from U.S. airstrikes, the Pentagon <u>announced changes aimed at reducing risks</u> to noncombatants in its military operations.
- Space Force: The fledgling military branch, which has frequently been the butt of jokes, dropped an official song extolling the force's celestial mission. Some public reactions were scathing.

People working with the program, however, said it was still in operation in 2017 and beyond, statements later confirmed by the Defense Department.

The program was begun in 2007 under the Defense Intelligence Agency and was then placed within the office of the undersecretary of defense for intelligence, which remains responsible for its oversight. But its coordination with the intelligence community will be carried out by the Office of Naval Intelligence, as described in the Senate budget bill. The program never lapsed in those years, but little was disclosed about the post-2017 operations.

The Pentagon program's previous director, Luis Elizondo, a former military intelligence official who resigned in October 2017 after 10 years with the program, confirmed that the new task force evolved from the advanced aerospace program.





Luis Elizondo, a former military intelligence official, was the director of the Pentagon's previous program on unidentified aerial vehicles. Roger Kisby for The New York Times

"It no longer has to hide in the shadows," Mr. Elizondo said. "It will have a new transparency."

Mr. Elizondo is among a small group of former government officials and scientists with security clearances who, without presenting physical proof, say they are convinced that objects of undetermined origin have crashed on earth with materials retrieved for study.

For more than a decade, the Pentagon program has been conducting classified briefings for congressional committees, aerospace company executives and other government officials, according to interviews with program participants and unclassified briefing documents.

In some cases, earthly explanations have been found for previously unexplained incidents. Even lacking a plausible terrestrial explanation does not make an extraterrestrial one the most likely, astrophysicists say.

Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier <u>U.F.O.</u> program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of objects of unknown origin may have occurred and that retrieved materials should be studied.

"After looking into this, I came to the conclusion that there were reports — some were substantive, some not so substantive — that there were actual materials that the government and the private sector had in their possession," Mr. Reid said in an interview.

No crash artifacts have been publicly produced for independent verification. Some retrieved objects, such as unusual metallic fragments, were later identified from laboratory studies as manmade.



Eric W. Davis, an astrophysicist who worked as a subcontractor and then a consultant for the <u>Pentagon U.F.O. program</u> since 2007, said that, in some cases, examination of the materials had so far failed to determine their source and led him to conclude, "We couldn't make it ourselves."

The constraints on discussing classified programs — and the ambiguity of information cited in unclassified slides from the briefings — have put officials who have studied U.F.O.s in the position of stating their views without presenting any hard evidence.

Mr. Davis, who now works for Aerospace Corporation, a defense contractor, said he gave a classified briefing to a Defense Department agency as recently as March about retrievals from "off-world vehicles not made on this earth."

Mr. Davis said he also gave classified briefings on retrievals of unexplained objects to staff members of the Senate Armed Services Committee on Oct. 21, 2019, and to staff members of the Senate Intelligence Committee two days later.

Committee staff members did not respond to requests for comment on the issue.

Public fascination with the topic of $\underline{\text{U.F.O.s}}$ has drawn in President Trump, who told his son Donald Trump Jr. in a June interview that he knew "very interesting" things about Roswell — a city in New Mexico that is central to speculation about the existence of $\underline{\text{U.F.O.s}}$. The president demurred when asked if he would declassify any information on Roswell. "I'll have to think about that one," he said.

Either way, Mr. Reid said, more should be made public to clarify what is known and what is not. "It is extremely important that information about the discovery of physical materials or retrieved craft come out," he said.

© 2022 The New York Times Company

NYTCo Contact Us Accessibility Work with us Advertise T Brand Studio Your Ad Choices Privacy Policy Terms of Service Terms of Sele Site Map Help Subscription